1		STATES DISTRICT COURT STRICT OF MINNESOTA
2		
3	In rot Torragija Brod	) ducts ) File No. 08-MDL-1943
4	In re: Levaquin Prod Liability Litigation (ALL CASES)	) (JRT)
5	(ALL CASES)	) ) ) Minnesselie Minnesse
6		) Minneapolis, Minnesota ) January 22, 2014
7		L MONODADIE TOUN D. EUNIMETA
8	UNITED ST	E HONORABLE JOHN R. TUNHEIM CATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
9	(	STATUS CONFERENCE)
10	APPEARANCES For Plaintiffs:	ZIMMERMAN & REED
11		RONALD GOLDSER, ESQ. GENEVIEVE ZIMMERMAN, ESQ.
12		80 S. 8th St., #1100 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
13	For Defendants:	NILAN, JOHNSON, LEWIS, PA
14		TRACY VAN STEENBURGH, ESQ. CORTNEY SYLVESTER, ESQ.
15		120 S. 6th St., #400 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
16	Telephonic	ADAM EVANS, ESQ.
17	Participants:	THOMAS KNIGHT, ESQ. WILLIAM BROSS, ESQ.
18	Court Reporter:	DEBRA BEAUVAIS, RPR-CRR
19		300 S. 4th St., #1005 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415
20		
21		
22		led by mechanical stenography;
23	transcript produced by	computer.
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	IN OPEN COURT
3	THE CLERK: All rise. United States District
4	Court for the District of Minnesota is now in session, the
5	Honorable John R. Tunheim presiding.
6	THE COURT: You may be seated. Good afternoon,
7	everyone. This is Multi-District Litigation No. 08-1943, In
8	re: Levaquin Products Liability Litigation Status
9	Conference today.
10	Counsel present, would you note your appearances.
11	MR. GOLDSER: Ron Goldser for plaintiffs. Good
12	afternoon.
13	THE COURT: Mr. Goldser.
14	MS. ZIMMERMAN: Genevieve Zimmerman for
15	plaintiffs.
16	THE COURT: Ms. Zimmerman.
17	MS. VAN STEENBURGH: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
18	Tracy Van Steenburgh on behalf of the defendants.
19	MR. SYLVESTER: And Cort Sylvester on behalf of
20	the defendants, as well.
21	THE COURT: And good afternoon to both of you.
22	And on the telephone.
23	MR. KNIGHT: Thomas Knight for Mr. Diaz.
24	MR. FITZGERALD: Hello, Your Honor. This is Kevin
25	Fitzgerald from Lewis Saul & Associates for plaintiff.

1 MR. WHIPPLE: Good afternoon. Douglas Whipple for 2 plaintiff Martin Fannin. 3 MR. EVANS: Adam Evans for plaintiffs Sharon Chetwynd and Martha Gregory. 4 5 MR. BROSS: Your Honor, this is Bill Bross with Heninger Garrison Davis on behalf of plaintiffs. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. Anybody else? Okay. Let's talk about where things are at. Who's going 8 9 to start? Ms. Van Steenburgh? 10 MS. VAN STEENBURGH: Yes, Your Honor. 11 So we prepared an agenda today. And you will 12 notice that I took off the total number of cases pending 13 since it is a moving target. We'll just talk about what 14 remains to be done. The first order of business are the cases for 15 16 What we're going to do today is present you with numbers and then to the extent the Court desires, we can 17 18 certainly prepare spreadsheets to provide you the 19 jurisdictions as to where all these different cases would 20 go, but I'm just going to provide numbers for you today. 21 With respect to the number of cases for remand, we 22 have cases where there's been a stipulation for remand and 23 cases where there will need to be an Order to Show Cause as to why the case should not be remanded. We have a total of 24 25 41 remands, 10 of which are under stipulation or consent to

remand and 31 that would be listed on an Order to Show Cause as to why the case should not be remanded. And it's a variety of law firms. There's nothing in particular on one or another. It's just a whole variety of cases.

With respect to the cases that are subject to transfer under 1404, I'm happy to say there are zero that should go on an Order to Show Cause. We have all of the cases on a consent to transfer, and there are a total of 10 that have been agreed to in terms of transfer. We are still talking to a couple of law firms and those couple may drop off, but that would appear to be the total number for transfer.

Then we have a couple of other proposed Orders to Show Cause to talk with the Court about. One is an Order to Show Cause where we have attempted to contact the other side and have never heard anything ever from them and so it's been months and months and months, and there are a total number of 11 cases on that list where we have never heard a response from the other side.

THE COURT: Is this a request for a response from the attorney?

MS. VAN STEENBURG: Yes. All of these people on this list -- and the pro ses are different -- these are all represented plaintiffs. And we have sent out, I would say, more than one request for a response. These are not ones

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

where we've sent out offers -- or maybe we did. We did send out offers, and we never got a response from them at all, not even we're thinking about it, we will get back to you. These are just 11 law firms where we've just heard nothing.

And then we have another group which we call the group where we have gotten something in response: received your offer, we're considering it; we want to make a counteroffer; you know, gotten some kind of response -- and there are a total of 24 on that list. However, all of those include offers that were made and nothing has ever happened. It's we're still thinking about it. And, in fact, Mr. Sylvester sent out an e-mail on Tuesday to all of those who had initially responded saying we're going in on Wednesday; what is the status; do you intend to respond; we would like to know where you are on this because the Court at our last status conference indicated that perhaps there'd be an Order to Show Cause saying if you don't respond at least within 30 days, your case may be dismissed. We heard from two law firms, both of which said oh, we think we may make a counteroffer, but it had been six months since we'd heard from them. So really we've heard nothing from the folks on that list. We would be happy to have them respond if there were an Order to Show Cause saying you need to respond in X number of days or your case goes away, but there are 24 on that particular list that we would propose

the Court entertain.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Then we move down to the pro se cases, and there are a total number of 32 cases that Mr. Goldser had indicated at our last status conference would be moving And as the Court may recall, the Court had asked us to reach out to those pro se plaintiffs to advise them as to status and see if they wanted to resolve their cases. We have reached out to all of them; although, we are still waiting on a couple of ones. I'll tell you the procedure. We initially tried to contact people by phone if there was a phone number. If it were disconnected or had changed, we sent a letter advising them and inviting them to call our 800 number if they wanted to find out the status and/or talk about possible resolution. And there are a few letters that went out this week, and we gave them until the 28th of January to respond. We have a few that are sitting out But I can give you the numbers as we have them We have settled four of the cases. The paperwork today. has gone out, and we will be resolving those cases. We have four more who are considering our offers, and we are waiting for a response, and we will follow up with them next week. We have six who have rejected our offers and would like to continue with their cases. And I will come back to those in a moment. We have five with whom we've left messages. know we have a correct phone number, asked them to call us,

and we've not heard back. We will likely follow up with a letter to those five. We have two letters that went out on the 14th of January for which we've gotten no response. We have eight additional letters that went out last Tuesday, and we've given them until the 28th -- or asked them to respond by the 28th of January. We have one person in prison, and Mr. Goldser is working to get some contact information for him. And the last person is someone who we're working with who doesn't want a money settlement, he would like a study done. So we're going to have to figure out how we're going to resolve that, if at all.

I said I would come back to the six that had rejected the offers because I wanted to let the Court know that all of those cases were directly filed in Minnesota. So in thinking this through it's difficult because those individuals are not represented, so perhaps the easiest thing to do is for us to make a motion to transfer venue and they will get notice of that, and then the case -- I can't imagine that any of them -- none of them are from Minnesota. I find it highly unlikely that they want to prosecute their case in Minnesota without counsel, but I wanted to at least bring it to your attention and see if the best way to resolve that -- I can't give them legal advice and tell them one way or the other, so I wasn't quite sure, but I wanted to at least bring it to the Court's attention that those are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

directly-filed cases and they will either have to pursue them here or they will have to be transferred. We certainly can give you that list of those cases. So we're making progress with the pro se cases. And I would anticipate that we would have a few more. fact, I got a call. Right before I came over here, I made a call. There was a filing that just happened today with a woman who gave a new address. We had sent her a letter to her old address. I tried calling her right before we came over and didn't get her. I think we will have a few people to work with in terms of the pro se plaintiffs. With respect to the Carey Danis Lowe cases, they have all been resolved and we have no further work that will need to be done on those cases, other than, I believe, that some of the plaintiffs will no longer be represented and so you may get calls and/or I may get calls. THE COURT: Oh, yeah, we're getting calls. MS. VAN STEENBURGH: All right. Well, if you are getting calls, that probably means I will be getting some calls, too. I will be prepared for that, as well.

THE COURT: The nature of most of them are that this notice that their case has been dismissed voluntarily is the first notice they've heard of anything from the law firm.

MS. VAN STEENBURGH: Okay. Well --

THE COURT: We're starting to get calls. This is a letter that we just received, a person who seems to have had significant injury: ruptured tendons in both shoulders, through telephone conversations told matter had good merits, assurance that this is one of the best cases, and then a notice of the voluntary dismissal, and a reference to absolutely nothing being in the files.

MS. VAN STEENBURGH: As you were saying that, I think what is coming to my mind is that we need to have the Carey Danis & Lowe firm provide us with a list of all of those that should go on to their dismissal, settlement and/or other so that you don't get a deluge of contacts and calls and nor do we. So when I get back, I will contact Mr. Sullivan and make sure that's been properly taken care of.

THE COURT: It is starting to appear that they did absolutely nothing for any of these people. Obviously, that was suspected all along, but I do have some significant concerns about that. Some of them may be frivolous cases, of course, but people trying to get information and then being told that their lawyer has agreed to dismiss their case --

MS. VAN STEENBURGH: Yeah. With the number of cases there is some concern that a lot of them are going to be let go, whether they have been looked at or not. We

```
didn't have a lot of information on them either.
1
                 THE COURT: Yeah. And I suspect the problem in
 2
 3
       getting information is information hasn't been gotten from
       any of these people.
 4
 5
                 MS. VAN STEENBURGH: So I will follow up on that.
                 THE COURT: Okay.
 6
                 MS. VAN STEENBURGH: Other than that --
 7
 8
                 Did you have something, Mr. Goldser?
 9
                 MR. GOLDSER: Yeah, just a couple comments.
10
       afternoon, Your Honor.
11
                 THE COURT: Just for the record, the one that we
12
       had just received is a plaintiff named Bernard Jones.
       was Court File 10-02747.
13
14
                 MS. VAN STEENBURGH: Thank you.
15
                 MR. GOLDSER: And working from the back forward,
16
       we are not getting calls from the former Carey Danis people,
17
       at least not to my knowledge. We've not gotten a lot of
18
       calls from plaintiffs. The ones that cross my radar screen
19
       are my clients, and they're few and far between. I get
20
       occasional calls from lawyers out there in the world
21
       wondering about, frankly, new cases, not really very much
22
       about the processing of any of these dismissals or Orders to
23
       Show Cause or anything. They are just not crossing my
24
               I'm sorry they're coming to the Court's attention.
25
       I'm not seeing them.
```

THE COURT: Yeah, I don't think these people probably got any information about how to reach anybody. They knew which Court the case had been -- they had filed their transcript to.

MR. GOLDSER: That could well be, but people don't have a whole lot of trouble finding me as co-lead in the MDL when they go looking. So if they do their homework even minimally, they tend to find me. I'm not sure whether there's anything the Court would like me to do with these folks. I'm certainly not excited about undertaking representation, but I don't want the burden to fall on the Court.

THE COURT: Well, we need to -- I mean, some of these are likely to be cases that are worthy of settlement. But for the fact that the law firm didn't really do anything on their behalf, many of them are probably not. We have to devise some kind of process to make sure these people have been treated fairly. Many of them probably don't care; who knows. But there's an awfully long list that we -- not awfully long, but a long list that we dismissed by voluntary stipulation, dismissal without prejudice. And the list is about 142.

MR. GOLDSER: I take it somewhere along the line notice of that dismissal was given to all of those people.

I mean, I don't know that, but I take it that's true. Do we

1	know?
2	THE COURT: I think that notice was sent to them
3	of the Order For Voluntary Dismissal.
4	MR. GOLDSER: Okay. At least they know.
5	THE COURT: That's probably it.
6	MR. GOLDSER: At least they know, and that's
7	important. And if they are then responding to that
8	voluntary dismissal with I never knew about this, nobody has
9	ever contacted me, I'm certainly troubled by that, of
10	course.
11	I hate to put the burden back on defense counsel,
12	but if they're resolvable cases if the one with the two
13	shoulder tendon ruptures is a resolvable case, then I would
14	imagine you'd want to resolve that one somewhere along the
15	line. So that if there is somebody who comes forward in
16	short order responding to the voluntary dismissal, you know,
17	that's something that I would think would be re-openable
18	under Rule 16 in some fashion or another.
19	THE COURT: Do we have other names of calls?
20	Heather is the one who gets the calls.
21	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes, and I have been giving
22	them Tracy's number. And I have given Ron's number to
23	people.
24	MR. GOLDSER: Okay.
25	COURTROOM DEPUTY: They have generally been

1 looking for representation, and that's not something that 2 you have been --3 MR. GOLDSER: Well, we're not willing to undertake to represent them, but we'll certainly give them some basic 4 5 information of where we are and what happens. As I say, they don't seem to be calling me. If they're calling Tracy, 6 7 then they are. COURTROOM DEPUTY: I've gotten a few calls. 8 9 MR. GOLDSER: Okay. I mean, we're happy to take 10 We will work with Tracy and defense counsel to work them. 11 through those issues. If it's black box cases, we 12 understand what happens to them. That double tear shoulder 13 may be a black box warning case for all we know. 14 THE COURT: Could be. MS. VAN STEENBURGH: One thing we could do, Your 15 16 Honor -- and the concern I have is a case like this that 17 you've described, in many of the Carey Danis cases one of 18 the reasons that they were dismissed is we never got any 19 information. They kept moving and people didn't give us 20 information. 21 THE COURT: Absolutely. 22 MS. VAN STEENBURGH: So it's hard to resolve 23 cases. 24 I think having a list of what cases have been 25 voluntarily dismissed, we can compare that to what we

actually got in terms of information from them and that will 1 2 help us in many respects figure out whether we even have 3 enough information to be able to pursue any of that. I will 4 make sure that we get all of that gathered from 5 Mr. Sullivan. THE COURT: Why don't we -- I short circuited it 6 7 with this guy's case because here's the material he sent. 8 We can hand it down to you. 9 MR. GOLDSER: Again, I repeat, Your Honor, if 10 there is something you would like from our side of the 11 courtroom, we are more than happy to make sure this burden 12 doesn't fall on you. 13 And along the same lines, as I wrote down some of 14 the numbers, it appears that there are 20 cases that are 15 stipulated and ready for either remand or transfer. I 16 wonder if there is any reason to wait on doing those remands 17 or transfers. Can we get those cases moving? Every once in 18 a while I do hear from a plaintiff's lawyer who says when is 19 my case coming back. 20 THE COURT: So we have ten in each category with 21 the stipulations? 22 MS. VAN STEENBURGH: Correct. 23 THE COURT: We can do them in two separate orders 24 right away if they're ready to go. 25 MS. VAN STEENBURGH: Okay.

1 MR. GOLDSER: And then there were three categories 2 of Orders to Show Cause totalling 66 cases. Some of them 3 were remand where there was no stipulation. Some of them were the Order to Show Cause, no response to an offer. 4 5 the other was the Order to Show Cause where there were responses out there. Is there any reason why we can't move 6 7 forward on those Orders to Show Cause at least to get the clock running on those? 8 9 MS. VAN STEENBURGH: And that's one thing I forgot 10 to mention. We were going to propose that right after the 11 status conference we submit by the end of the week those 12 Orders to Show Cause so we can get that rolling. 13 THE COURT: All right. 14 MR. GOLDSER: Part of my reason -- I'm certainly 15 interested in moving these cases along, getting them off 16 your docket, but, as you know, I'm looking towards a finish 17 date of March 31st, and I would certainly like to see if we 18 can't accomplish that. I suspect some of these Orders to 19 Show Cause are going to roll over after that date, which is 20 unfortunate, but as much as we can get done by March 31st, I 21 would certainly like to see that we can do that. 22 On the pro se cases, the six that are direct filed 23 that have rejected the proposals, those appear to be then 24 necessary or ready for remand or -- no, they're not ready?

MS. VAN STEENBURGH: None of them are remand

25

1	cases.
2	MR. GOLDSER: They are all transfer cases. So
3	motions will be made. Of those six, as I understand it,
4	four of them are my clients and I presume, although I
5	haven't checked, that we have motions to withdraw on those
6	four. I would think at this point those four motions would
7	be ripe for the Court to rule on. The names, as I
8	understand it, are Robert Harrington (sic)
9	MS. VAN STEENBURGH: Arrington.
10	MR. GOLDSER: Arrington, Stephanie Johnson
11	MS. VAN STEENBURGH: I can provide the Court file
12	numbers if you need them.
13	MR. GOLDSER: Joyce Sams, and Tracy Whiteside.
14	If the Court please, I would like to have the motions to
15	withdraw on those taken care of. I don't know who the other
16	two are on that list. And that's all.
17	THE COURT: So we have on these a clear rejection.
18	And any expression of what they intend to do next? They
19	want more money or what's the
20	MS. VAN STEENBURGH: No, they want to go forward.
21	All of them have said that they would like to go forward
22	with their case. I'm just looking at my notes. I didn't
23	talk to Mr. Arrington, but he said he wanted to go forward.
24	Right?
25	MR. SYLVESTER: Correct.

```
1
                 MS. VAN STEENBURGH: Right now they want to move
 2
       forward with their cases.
 3
                 THE COURT: And they are all located elsewhere?
                 MS. VAN STEENBURGH: Yes. Mr. Bross on the phone
 4
 5
       is Ms. Walsh, I think was a case that was a Henninger case;
       and then Mr. Steele, Darryl Steele. Magistrate Judge Boylan
 6
7
       and I tried to resolve the case, and he wanted to keep going
       forward with that case. Otherwise, yeah.
8
 9
                 THE COURT: Okay. Well, and I'll need to know
10
      where to transfer them to.
11
                 MS. VAN STEENBURGH: I'll get you that, too.
12
                 THE COURT: Okay.
                 MR. GOLDSER: Those are all the comments that I
13
14
      have about those cases, Your Honor.
15
                 THE COURT: All right. Anything else we need to
16
      go over today?
                 MR. GOLDSER: The only other thing I'd like to
17
18
       call to your attention you have probably seen, is that the
19
       second Schedin appeal has been decided by the Court of
20
      Appeals. You were affirmed. I'm pleased to say that.
21
       know that Mr. Fitzgerald is working with Mr. Schedin on
22
       getting that resolved and Ms. Van Steenburgh on getting that
23
       resolved, but that case also looks like it's coming to a
24
       conclusion. That was the bellwether Schedin case.
25
                 THE COURT: Sure. All right. Anything else we
```

1	should discuss today?
2	MS. VAN STEENBURGH: I have nothing else on my
3	agenda, Your Honor, other than the next date that we meet.
4	THE COURT: Anyone on the phone have any issue to
5	raise?
6	MALE SPEAKER: No, Your Honor.
7	MALE SPEAKER: No, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: All right. Some time in February
9	shall we get back together again? It seems wise to do this
10	on a fairly regular basis so we can keep on top of all these
11	odds and ends that we have left here. The last week in
12	February is a week that has time on my schedule, the week
13	beginning with the 24th.
14	MS. VAN STEENBURGH: Depending on the day
15	THE COURT: What day are you free?
16	MS. VAN STEENBURGH: I'm free at the beginning of
17	the week.
18	MR. GOLDSER: Tuesday the 24th (sic) does not work
19	for me I'm sorry, Tuesday the 25th does not work. Monday
20	the 24th does.
21	THE COURT: I'm scheduled to start a jury trial
22	that day, but we certainly can do something later in the
23	day.
24	MS. VAN STEENBURGH: That would work.
25	THE COURT: 4:00?

1	MR. GOLDSER: Sure.
2	THE COURT: We will set up the next status
3	conference for 4:00 in the afternoon of February 24th.
4	All right. And I'll await the information so that
5	we can remand 10 cases, and we can transfer 10 cases from
6	the first two categories, and then the six who have rejected
7	the settlements need to grant some motions to withdraw and
8	to transfer those cases to an appropriate district to handle
9	them. Okay?
10	MS. VAN STEENBURGH: Fair enough.
11	MR. GOLDSER: And the other 66 with the Orders to
12	Show Cause, we will get those proposed orders as well?
13	THE COURT: Right. We will take care of that upon
14	receipt.
15	All right. If there is nothing else, thank you
16	everyone. We will be in recess.
17	COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.
18	(Court adjourned at 4:08 p.m.)
19	* * *
20	I, Debra Beauvais, certify that the foregoing is a
21	correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
22	above-entitled matter.
23	Certified by: <u>s/Debra Beauvais</u> Debra Beauvais, RPR-CRR
24	Debla Deadvals, Krk CKK
25	